Percutaneous Treatment of Saphenous Vein Grafts

Michael S. Lee, MD, FACC, FSCAI Assistant Clinical Professor of Medicine UCLA School of Medicine



SVG Pathology

 SVGs are not like native coronary arteries

- -300,000 new CABG/year*
- -10% of PCI case volume



*MedPar Data



SVG Angiographic Patency





Fitzgibbon et al. JACC 1996;28:616

Typical SVG disease progression

-First month

- Thrombosis
- Intimal hyperplasia
- –1-7 years
 - Build-up of atherosclerosis with superimposed thrombus
- –7-10 years
 - Occlusion





SVG Pathology



 Friable atheroma and thrombi are bulky and particularly prone to distal embolization during PCI, leading to a significant increase in the risk of death or MI



Saphenous Vein Graft PCI

 PCI of degenerated SVG is associated with worse outcomes compared with PCI of native coronaries

- Acute complications
 - Periprocedural MI
 - No-reflow
- Long-term
 - Restenosis

Patients often have comorbid conditions, extensive disease, and LV dysfunction



Microvascular Complications of PCI

- Athero-thromboembolization
- No Reflow
- Myocardial Necrosis





CK-MB Rise in SVG PCI

Rates After Successful SVG Intervention n=1056 consecutive SVG interventions



- 47% had CK-MB rise, even after successful PCI
- 15% had major CK-MB rise
- Even minor CK-MB rise related to a significant late mortality increase
- Patients with major CK-MB rise had 2.5x the mortality as those with normal CK-MB



Hong, et al., Circulation. 1999;100:2400-2405.

Causes of Microvascular Obstruction



 Distal embolization from PCI causes microvascular obstruction via plugging, with secondary spasm and platelet aggregation





Adapted from Hori M, *et al., Am J Physiol*. 1986;250:H509-518. Illustration by Boston Scientific Corporation.

No-Reflow Has Lasting Consequences

- Complicates 10–15% of SVG PCI¹
- 31% rate of AMI²
- Increases in-hospital mortality by 10-fold²
- Atheroembolization is a key contributor³



Image courtesy of Dr. Donald S. Baim

- Sdringola, et al., <u>Cathet Cardiovasc Intervent</u>. 2001
 Abbo, et al., <u>American Journal of Cardiology</u>, 1995.
- 3 Rezkalla, et al., Circulation. 2002.



STATE-OF-THE-ART PAPER

Saphenous Vein Graft Intervention

State-of-the-Art 2011

Michael S. Lee, MD,* Seung-Jung Park, MD,‡ David E. Kandzari, MD,§ Ajay J. Kirtane, MD, SM,|| William F. Fearon, MD,† Emmanouil Brilakis, MD,¶ Paul Vermeersch, MD,# Young-Hak Kim, MD,‡ Ron Waksman, MD,** Julinda Mehilli, MD,†† Laura Mauri, MD,‡‡ Gregg W. Stone, MD||

Los Angeles, and Palo Alto, California; Seoul, South Korea; Atlanta, Georgia; New York, New York; Dallas, Texas; Antwerp, Belgium; Washington, D.C.; Munich, Germany; and Boston, Massachusetts

Saphenous vein grafts are commonly used conduits for surgical revascularization of coronary arteries but are associated with poor long-term patency rates. Percutaneous revascularization of saphenous vein grafts is associated with worse clinical outcomes including higher rates of in-stent restenosis, target vessel revascularization, myocardial infarction, and death compared with percutaneous coronary intervention of native coronary arteries. Use of embolic protection devices is a class I indication according to the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines to decrease the risk of distal embolization, noreflow, and periprocedural myocardial infarction. Nonetheless, these devices are underused in clinical practice. Various pharmacological agents are available that may also reduce the risk of or mitigate the consequences of no-reflow. Covered stents do not decrease the rates of periprocedural myocardial infarction and restenosis. Most available evidence supports treatment with drug-eluting stents in this high-risk lesion subset to reduce angiographic and clinical restenosis, although large, randomized trials comparing drug-eluting stents and bare-metal stents are needed. (J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2011;xx:xxx) © 2011 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation



Should embolic protection be used for all SVG Intervention?



Rationale for Embolic Protection

- Embolization is common and is associated with 8-10 fold increase in mortality
- Although risk factors can be identified, embolization cannot be reliably predicted



Material Capture: FIRE Trial



Material capture is common and <u>independent</u> of patient demographics, clinical presentation, and lesion characteristics.



Weisz, et.al. JACC Vol. 43, (suppl A); 72A-73A

Embolic Protection Devices

Distal occlusion + aspiration (Percusurge)



Distal filters

Proximal occlusion + aspiration



Occlusion and Aspiration

<u>Advantage</u>

Easy to cross lesion

- Captures smaller particles and "humoral" mediators
- Frequently applicable
- Easy device retrieval

<u>Disadvantage</u>

Difficult to image during stenting

- Balloon injury
- Transient occlusion/ischemia

 May not catch particles near balloon and not get full evacuation

•Can't cover side branch

Cumbersome operation



SAFER (Saphenous Vein Graft Angioplasty Free of Emboli Randomized) Trial



Baim DS, et al. Circulation 2002

SAFER Trial

*Primary Endpoint

	With protection (n=406)	No protection (n=395)	P-value
*MACE out to 30 days	s 9.6%	16.5%	p=.004
•All MI	8.6%	14.7%	р=.008
•Q-wave MI	1.2%	1.3%	NS (p=1.00)
•Non Q-wave MI	7.4%	13.7%	<i>р</i> =.004
•Death	1.0%	2.3%	NS (p=.171)
•Emergent CABG	0.0%	0.5%	NS (p=.243)
•TLR	1.0%	2.0%	NS (p=.257)

Baim DS, et.al., Circulation. 2002;105:1285-1290.



Proxis

•Proximally Deployed •Proxis™

•Target Lesion with Stent

Benefits

- Nothing crosses the lesion prior to protection
- Protection of main vessel and side branches
- Captures large and small particles
- Can handle large embolic loads



















<u>Advantage</u>

Maintain Flow

Visualization during procedure

Non-ischemic

Intuitive operation

<u>Disadvantage</u>

 May not capture all particles <100 micron

 Does not control secretions of humoral factors





FilterWire EZ™ Embolic Protection System. Copyright © 2004 by Boston Scientific Corporation. All rights reserved.

FilterWire EZ[™] System*

- Suspension arm conforms filter to curvature
- Improved guidewire
- Pre-loaded
- 3.2F Profile
- Re-designed Delivery Sheath
- Re-tooled nosecone



FilterWire EZ[™] Embolic Protection System. Copyright © 2004 by Boston Scientific Corporation. All rights reserved.



FilterWire EZ™ Embolic Protection System Copyright © 2004 by Boston Scientific Corporation All rights reserved



FIRE Trial 30-Day MACE



FilterWire EX [®] System (n=332)
 GuardWire Plus [®] System (n=319)



P = 0.0016 (non-inferiority for MACE with 5.5% delta)









VEGAS 2 Trial **30-Day Clinical Results** *Stopped early (349 vs 500) by DSMB!*





VEGAS 2 Trial Bleeding Complications



















Is there any role of GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonists in SVG Intervention?



Lack of Benefit of GPIIb/IIIa Inhibitors in SVG PCI

Pooled Analysis of 5 Randomized Trials



Roffi et al. Circulation 2002



Roffi et al, Circulation 2002;106:3063

SVG Intervention 6-month Follow up







IIbIIIa inhibitors offer NO benefit in SVG intervention


SVG Balloon Angioplasty Temporal Course of Restenosis





SAVED (SAphenous Vein De Novo) Trial



Endpoint: 6-month angiographic restenosis



Savage et al. NEJM 1997

SAVED (SAphenous Vein De Novo) Trial

Cumulative Events	PTCA (n=107)	Stent (n=108)	p-value
Procedural Success (%)	69	92	<0.001
Restenosis at 6 months (%)	46	37	0.24
MACE free at 8 months (%)	58	73	0.03
Death at 8 months (%)	9	7	0.44
TLR at 8 months (%)	26	17	0.09

Conclusions:

- Stenting of SVG resulted in superior procedural outcomes, a larger gain in luminal diameter, and a reduction in MACE
- However, there was no benefit in angiographic restenosis



Savage et al. NEJM 1997

DES vs. BMS for SVG Intervention



Lee MS, et al. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2005



Clinical Outcomes at 9 Months





Lee MS et al. Cathet Cardiovas Interv 2005.

RRISC Trial <u>R</u>eduction of <u>R</u>estenosis In <u>Saphenous vein grafts with Cypher stent</u>



Primary endpoint -6-month in-stent late loss Secondary endpoints (all at 6 months follow up): -Binary angiographic restenosis (in-stent/in-segment) -Clinical events (death, MI, TLR, TVR)



Vermeersch et al. JACC 2006

Binary Restenosis



Vermeersch et al. JACC 2006

6-month MACE

	BMS n=37	SES n=38	P value
In-hospital			
Death	0	0	
Repeat revascularization	0	0	
Periprocedural MI	1 (2.7%)	2 (5.3%)	0.99
Between discharge and 6 months			
Death	0	1 (2.6%)	0.99
Myocardial infarction	0	1 (2.6%)	0.99
TLR (per-patient)	8 (21.6%)	2 (5.3%)	0.047
TVR (per-patient)	10 (27%)	2 (5.3%)	0.012
Cumulative 6-month MACE	11 (29.7%)	6 (15.8%)	0.15



DES vs. BMS in Saphenous Vein Graft Lesions

DELAYED RRISC Trial N=75







Vermeersch et al., JACC 2007

Stent Thrombosis

(ARC criteria)

	BMS n=37	SES n=38	P value
Definite	0	2 (5.2%) 1 fatal at 13 mo 1 non fatal at 30 mo	0.49
Probable	0	0	-
Possible	0	3 (7.9%) 1 sudden death at 7.5 mo 1 sudden death at 11.5 mo 1 sudden death at 35 mo	0.30
Total	0	5 (13.1%)	0.02 Log Rank



DES vs. BMS in Saphenous Vein Graft Lesions

SOS Trial N=80

All-cause Death



Target Lesion Revascularization





Primary Endpoint: Death/MI/TLR



ISAR-CABG

Is Drug-Eluting Stenting Associated With Improved Results in Coronary Artery Bypass Grafts?





Primary Endpoint: Death/MI/TLR



Target Lesion Revascularization



Conclusions

- The behavior of SVG disease is substantially different from native CAD-with higher incidence of procedural complications and long-term failure
- Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa antagonists are ineffective in SVG intervention, presumably due to their ineffectiveness against atheroemboli
- Embolic protection in SVG PCI can dramatically reduce 30 day MACE rates and should be used in SVG PCI
- A large randomized trial with long-term follow up is needed to determine if DES is preferred over BMS



Thank You!



Safety and Efficacy of CYPHER[®] in Saphenous Vein Grafts

Safety and Efficacy of CYPHER in Saphenous Vein Grafts

Limitations

- There have been no large, randomized studies comparing the safety and efficacy of bare-metal stenting vs. CYPHER[®] stenting for the treatment of saphenous vein grafts
- Event rates reported in these publications reflect anecdotal experience at several high-volume institutions
- Due to the limited data contained in studies evaluating the use of CYPHER[®] in saphenous vein grafts, these data sets are not adequately powered to evaluate variables with low event rates (such as stent thrombosis)

Background

- Within 10 years of surgery, 50% of all saphenous vein bypass grafts have severe atherosclerotic disease
 - Lawrie GM, et al., Am J Cardiol 1976;38:856-62.
 - Hamby RI, et al. Circulation 1979;60:901-9.
 - Bourassa MG, et al., Am J Cardiol 1984;53:102C-107C.
 - Bourassa MG, et al., Circulation 1985; 72:Suppl V:V-71–V-78.
 - Virmani R, et al., Cardiovasc Clin 1988;18:41-62.
 - Lytle BW, et al., J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1985;89: 248-58.
 - FitzGibbon GM, et al., J Am Coll Cardiol 1991;17:1075-80.
- Management of these lesions remains problematic, due to the risks of repeated surgery and high rates of restenosis with bare-metal stenting

Savage M., et al., NEJM 1997; 337:740-47. Peykar S., et al., Minerva Cardioangiol 2004; 52: 379-90.

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts Study Design

Patients with new lesions in aortocoronary venous bypass grafts who had angina pectoris, objective evidence of myocardial ischemia, or both with ≥60% stenosis in 3.0 - 5.0 mm diameter vessels

220 patients enrolled between January 1993 and June 1995



Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts Exclusion Criteria

- Myocardial infarction within 7 days
- Contraindication to aspirin, dipyridamole, or warfarin
- Ejection fraction < 25%
- Diffuse disease that would require > 2 stents
- Thrombus
- Outflow obstruction of the graft due to distal anastomotic stenosis or poor runoff in the recipient native vessel

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts Baseline Clinical Characteristics

	Angioplasty (n = 107)	Stent Placement (n = 108)
Age (y)	66 ± 9	66 ± 9
Male (%)	79	82
Hyperlipidemia (%)	64	65
Hypertension (%)	55	61
Diabetes Mellitus (%)	36	23*
Current Smoking (%)	15	17
Prior MI (%)	70	68
Unstable Angina (%)	77	82
LVEF (%)	0.52 ± 0.14	0.53 ± 0.14
* p = 0.05	Savage M., et al., NEJM 1997; 337:740-47.	

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts Baseline Anatomical Characteristics

	Angioplasty (n = 107)	Stent Placement (n = 108)
Age of graft (y)	9.4 ± 4.3	10.1 ± 4.2
Distal Anastomoses (%) - Single - Multiple	82 18	84 16
Target Lesion (%) - Aortic anastomosis - Proximal Third - Middle Third - Distal Third - Coronary anastomosis	9 29 36 21 5	7 43 29 19 2
# of Lesions Treated (%) - one - two - three or more	83 10 7 Savage M., et al	82 14 4 NE IM 1997: 337:740-47

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts Baseline Lesion Characteristics

	Angioplasty (n = 107)	Stent Placement (n = 108)
Lesion Length, mm	9.8 ± 5.2	9.6 ± 5.4
Diameter Stenosis (%)	71 ± 12	72 ± 12
Eccentricity (%)	82	73
Ulceration (%)	39	35
Lesion Bend > 45° (%)	10	11
Tortuous Graft (%)	39	39

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts Angiographic and Procedural Success



Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts In-Hospital Outcomes



Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts Restenosis at 6-Month Follow-Up



Restenosis (in-lesion) Restenosis (in-patient)

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts Angiographic Outcomes



Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts MACE Up To 240 Days Post-PCI



Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts Conclusions

- As compared with balloon angioplasty, stenting of selected venous bypass-graft lesions resulted in superior procedural outcomes, a larger gain in luminal diameter, and a reduction in major cardiac events
- However, there was no significant benefit in the rate of angiographic restenosis, which was the primary endpoint of the study

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts SAFER: Methods

- 801 SVG patients were randomized to either:
 - Stent placement over a conventional 0.014-inch angioplasty guidewire (n=395)
 - Stent placement over the shaft of the distal protection device (n=406)
- Primary endpoint:
 - Composite of death, myocardial infarction, emergency bypass, or target lesion revascularization by 30 days

Baim D., et al., Circulation 2002; 105:1285-90.

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts SAFER: Inclusion / Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria:

- History of angina and signs of myocardial ischemia resulting from a ≥ 50% stenosis located in the mid-portion of a saphenous vein graft
- Reference diameter between 3 and 6 mm
- Major exclusion criteria:
 - Recent myocardial infarction with baseline elevation of CK-MB fraction
 - Ejection fraction ≤ 25%
 - Baseline creatinine > 2.5 mg/dL (unless on longterm hemodialysis),
 - planned use of an atherectomy device

Baim D., et al., Circulation 2002; 105:1285-90.

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts Clinical Outcomes Through 30 Days



Composite of death, MI, emergency bypass, or TLR

Baim D., et al., Circulation 2002; 105:1285-90.

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts FIRE: Methods

- 651 SVG patients were randomized to either:
 - filter-based FilterWire EX distal protection device (n=332)
 - GuardWire balloon occlusion and aspiration system (n=319)
- Primary end point:
 - Composite of death, myocardial infarction, or target vessel revascularization by 30 days

Stone G., et al., Circulation 2003;108:548-53.

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts FIRE: Inclusion Criteria

- ≥ 21 years of age
- PCI with planned stenting of ≥ 1 de novo SVG
- Reference vessel diameter between 3.5 5.5 mm

Stone G., et al., Circulation 2003;108:548-53.
Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts FIRE: Major Exclusion Criteria

- Recent or acute myocardial infarction
- Current elevation of CK-MB enzyme
- Cerebrovascular event within 2 months
- Baseline creatinine > 2.5 mg/dL
- Prior PCI within 30 days
- Planned use of an atherectomy device
- SVG age < 6 months
- True aorto-ostial lesion ≤ 10 mm in length
- TIMI 0 flow
- Lesion within 2.5 cm of the distal anastomosis or 2 cm of relatively straight vessel distal to the lesion not present
- Unprotected Y-limb
- Branch vessel proximal to the study device
- Planned use of laser or atherothrombectomy devices
- Left ventricular ejection fraction < 25%

Stone G., et al., Circulation 2003;108:548-53.

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts Clinical Outcomes Through 30 Days



Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts VENESTENT: Aim and Methods

- Aim:
 - Compare acute and long-term angiographic and clinical outcome of balloon angioplasty and elective stenting in de novo lesions in the body of a SVG
- Randomization:
 - Between August 1996 and December 1998, 150 patients were enrolled at 9 centers, with 165 lesions were randomly assigned to:
 - Balloon angioplasty (n = 73)
 - Stent implantation (n = 77)

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts VENESTENT: Major Inclusion Criteria

- Patients scheduled for PTCA of ≥ 1 de novo lesions in the body of an SVG were included
- Stable or unstable angina were included, except in case of AMI < 3 days prior to PCI
- Presence of one or more SVG lesions
- Good distal runoff
- Ability to accommodate a 2.5– 4.5 mm stent
- Lesion length ≤ 30 mm
- Patients with different levels of stenosis in different grafts were eligible

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts VENESTENT: Major Exclusion Criteria

- Ostial or anastomotic lesions
- Total occlusion of the graft
- Renal failure
- Angiographic evidence of thrombus in the graft
- Use of warfarin
- > 1 stenosis within the same graft

Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts VENESTENT: In-Hospital Events



Safety and Efficacy of Bare-Metal Stents in Saphenous Vein Grafts VENESTENT: 6-Month Events



Experience with CYPHER® in SVG

- Costa M., et al., Cath Lab Digest 2003; 11:20 23.
 - Case report
- Costa M., et al., Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2004;61: 368-75.
 Case report
- Price M., et al., Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2005;65:208-11.
 - 35 patients treated with CYPHER[®]
- Ge L., et al., J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:989-94.
 - 61 patients treated with DES
 - 35 patients treated with CYPHER®
 - 89 patients treated with BMS (historical control)
- Hoye A., et al., J Invas Cardiol 2004; 16:230-33.
 - 19 patients treated with CYPHER[®]

Case Report: CYPHER® in SVG

- 79-year-old male
- History of hypertension, diabetes mellitus and hypercholesterolemia
- 1980:
 - 4-vessel bypass grafting
- June 2002:
 - Unstable angina
 - Angiography demonstrated 4th case of restenosis in 14 months
 - Patient previously treated with repeat PCI, cutting balloon and brachytherapy
 - Enrolled in compassionate use of CYPHER trial (SECURE)

Costa M., et al., Cath Lab Digest 2003; 11:20 - 23.

Safety and Efficacy of CYPHER in Saphenous Vein Grafts Baseline Angiogram



In-stent restenosis (80% instent and distal to the stent edge stenosis) observed in the proximal mid-portion of the SVG to the RCA

Costa M., et al., Cath Lab Digest 2003; 11:20 – 23.

Safety and Efficacy of CYPHER in Saphenous Vein Grafts Stent Positioning



Stent positioning and its relationship with anatomical landmarks, such as the edges of the previous stent

Costa M., et al., Cath Lab Digest 2003; 11:20 - 23.

Stent Placement



3.5 x 18 mm CYPHER stent deployed directly (no predilatation)

Inflated up to 16 ATM

Post-PCI IVUS indicated a wellexpanded and apposed stent

Costa M., et al., Cath Lab Digest 2003; 11:20 – 23.

Clinical Outcome

No elevation in post-procedure cardiac enzymes

- Discharged on clopidogrel 75 mg/day and aspirin 325 mg per day for an indefinite period
- At 8-month follow-up, the patient remained asymptomatic

Costa M., et al., Cath Lab Digest 2003; 11:20 – 23.

Safety and Efficacy of CYPHER in Saphenous Vein Grafts 8-Month Follow-Up Angiogram



Virtually No Late Loss in the Target Segment by IVUS or Angiography



Costa M., et al., Cath Lab Digest 2003; 11:20 - 23.

Experience with CYPHER® in SVG

- Costa M., et al., Cath Lab Digest 2003; 11:20 23.
 - Case report
- Costa M., et al., Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2004;61: 368-75.
 Case report
- Price M., et al., Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2005;65:208-11.
 - 35 patients treated with CYPHER[®]
- Ge L., et al., J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:989-94.
 - 61 patients treated with DES
 - 35 patients treated with CYPHER®
 - 89 patients treated with BMS (historical control)
- Hoye A., et al., J Invas Cardiol 2004; 16:230-33.
 - 19 patients treated with CYPHER[®]

Case Report: CYPHER® in SVG

- 74-year-old female
- Recurrent symptoms of unstable angina and a history of previously degenerated saphenous vein grafts
- Repeat angiography:
 - occlusion of the side-to-side anastomosis to obtuse marginal (OM1)
 - diffuse severe ISR of the vein graft to the LAD

Costa M., et al., Catheter and CV Interven 2004;61: 368-75.

Compassionate Use: CYPHER® in SVG

- Alternative options to CYPHER ruled-out:
 - 4th CABG:
 - Both mammary arteries were occluded
 - No further venous conduits for harvest
 - Brachytherapy was not an option due to:
 - Vessel size
 - Lesion length (35 mm)
 - Lesion location
- Enrolled in compassionate use of CYPHER trial (SECURE)

Stent Placement

- A distal protection device was deployed
- At 25 atm, two 3.0 x 18 mm CYPHER stents deployed in the mid and proximal/ostial segments of the SVG to LAD
 - overlap of approximately 1 mm
- Post-dilation with a 5.0 x 18 mm noncompliant balloon inflated to 20 atm
- After two runs with the aspiration catheter, the distal protection device was deflated
 - Total occlusion time: 9 minutes

Safety and Efficacy of CYPHER in Saphenous Vein Grafts Pre- and Post-Stenting Angiograms





Pre-PCI Diffuse ISR of the Vein Graft to the LAD Post-PCI: Widely patent stents with TIMI 3 Flow

Costa M., et al., Catheter and CV Interven 2004;61: 368-75.

Clinical Follow-Up

- No elevation in post-PCI cardiac enzymes
- Patient discharged on the next day
- Discharge medications:
 - Ticlopidine 250 mg twice a day for 3 months (clopidogrel allergy)
 - Aspirin 325 mg per day for an indefinite period
- 1-month telephone follow-up:
 - No reports of angina or any other adverse events
- Ticlopidine discontinued at 3 months secondary to gastrointestinal side effects
- No reports of angina at 6 and 9 months

Experience with CYPHER® in SVG

- Costa M., et al., Cath Lab Digest 2003; 11:20 23.
 - Case report
- Costa M., et al., Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2004;61: 368-75.
 Case report
- Price M., et al., Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2005;65:208-11.
 - 35 patients treated with CYPHER[®]
- Ge L., et al., J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:989-94.
 - 61 patients treated with DES
 - 35 patients treated with CYPHER®
 - 89 patients treated with BMS (historical control)
- Hoye A., et al., J Invas Cardiol 2004; 16:230-33.
 - 19 patients treated with CYPHER[®]

Objective

 Evaluate the clinical outcome of patients undergoing sirolimus-eluting stent (SES) implantation for de novo lesions within saphenous vein grafts

Methods

- Retrospective analysis of 35 patients with ≥ 6 months follow-up following placement of a CYPHER stent for a de novo saphenous vein graft lesion at Scripps Clinic
- Between May and November 2003, all SVG patients received CYPHER unless:
 - Contraindication to prolonged dual antiplatelet therapy
 - Appropriate-sized CYPHER stent not available
 - ≥ 5 mm stent diameter required

Medications

Medications:

- Aspirin:
 - Prior to PCI: 325 mg
 - Post-PCI: daily indefinitely
- Clopidogrel:
 - Post-PCI: 300 mg loading dose in patients not on clopidogrel; continued with 75 mg/d for ≥ 3 months

Baseline Characteristics

Variable	Patients Treated with SES for SVG (n=35)
Age, years	69 ± 10
Men (%)	74
Hypertension (%)	80
Hypercholesterolemia (%)	80
Diabetes Mellitus, DM (%)	26
Insulin-Dependent DM (%)	11
Prior MI (%)	51
PVD (%)	20
LVEF < 40% (%)	31

Baseline Characteristics

Variable

Age of bypass graft, years	10.1 ± 6.5		
Indication for PCI (%) - ACS / STEMI - Stable Angina	28 72		
Target Lesion Location (%) - Bypass graft ostium - Graft body - Distal Anastomosis	21 23 26		
Distal Protection Used (%)	33		

Procedural Characteristics

Variable

SES / vessel, n	1.2
Median Stent Length, mm - (range)	18 mm (8-46mm)
Median Stent Diameter, mm	
- Ostium	3.5 mm
- Body	3.5 mm
- Distal Anastomosis	2.5 mm
Distal Protection (%)	33
Balloon Post-Dilation (%)	43%
Max Balloon Post-Dilation (%)	
- ≥ 0.5 mm larger than stent	8 lesions
- ≥ 1.0 mm larger than stent	3 lesions
Price M., et al., Cathe	ter Cardiovasc Interven. 2005;65:208-11.

In-Hospital Outcomes

- Angiographic Success: 100%
- Death: 0%
- Thrombosis: 0%
- Non-Q-Wave MI: 11%
- TVR: 0%

Out-of-Hospital Outcomes

- All Cause Death:
- Cardiac Death:
 - presumed ST
 6 days post-PCI
- Stent Thrombosis:
- Myocardial Infarction:
- TVR:
- MACE:

Mean follow-up: 7.5 ± 2.2 months Clopidogrel Use at Follow-Up: 84%

average length of clopidogrel: 6.5 ± 2.2 months

n=35 5.7% (n=2) 2.9% (n=1)

2.9% (n=1) 11.4% (n=4) 5.7% (n=2) 20.0% (n=7)

Limitations

Retrospective nonrandomized study

Small sample size

 Rate of angiographic restenosis could not be assessed since angiographic follow-up was not mandated

Conclusions

- In this study, the treatment of saphenous vein graft lesions with the CYPHER stent was associated with a low rate of clinically driven TVR
- 11% rate of peri-procedural MI
 - Consistent with recent reported outcomes for SVG intervention

Baim D., et al., *Circulation* 2002; 105:1285-90. Stone G., et al., *Circulation* 2003;108:548-53.

Experience with CYPHER® in SVG

- Costa M., et al., Cath Lab Digest 2003; 11:20 23.
 - Case report
- Costa M., et al., Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2004;61: 368-75.
 - Case report
- Price M., et al., Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2005;65:208-11.
 - 35 patients treated with CYPHER[®]
- Ge L., et al., J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:989-94.
 - 61 patients treated with DES
 - 35 patients treated with CYPHER®
 - 89 patients treated with BMS (historical control)
- Hoye A., et al., J Invas Cardiol 2004; 16:230-33.
 - 19 patients treated with CYPHER[®]

Objective

 Evaluate clinical and angiographic outcomes of drug-eluting stent (DES) implantation in saphenous vein graft (SVG) lesions

Methods

- Between March 2002 and March 2004, 61 consecutive patients (69 lesions) underwent drugeluting stent placement in SVG
- A control group included 89 consecutive patients (120 lesions) who underwent BMS placement in in SVG lesions during the 24 months prior to the introduction of DES
- Exclusion Criteria:
 - AMI < 1 week prior to PCI</p>
 - implantation of a covered stent
 - brachytherapy

Ge L., et al., J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:989-94.

Medications

- All patients pretreated with aspirin and either ticlopidine or clopidogrel (300 mg loading dose in patients not pretreated)
- IV UFH (100 IU/kg)
 - maintain ACT between 250 and 300 seconds
- GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor:
 - Physician Discretion
- Discharge:
 - Aspirin: Indefinitely
 - Thienopyridine:
 - ≥ 6 months in SES group
 - − ≥ 1 month in BMS group

Ge L., et al., J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:989-94.

Baseline Characteristics

	Bare-Metal Stent (n = 89)	DES (n = 61)	P-value
Age (y)	67 ± 8	67 ± 8	0.85
Male (%)	88.8	83.6	0.46
Family History of CAD (%)	27.0	37.7	0.21
Hypercholesterolemia (%)	49.4	65.6	0.07
Hypertension (%)	53.9	60.7	0.50
Diabetes Mellitus (%)	15.7	19.7	0.66
Prior MI (%)	62.9	59.0	0.73
Age of SVG (years)	9.2 ± 4.8	9.7 ± 5.6	0.58
Unstable angina (%)	40.4	29.5	0.23
Multivessel Disease (%)	100	96.7	0.32
LVEF (%)	48.7 ± 10.4	50.6 ± 8.1	0.24

Ge L., et al., J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:989-94.
Lesion Characteristics

	Bare-Metal Stent (n = 120)	DES (n = 69)	P-value
Lesion Location (%)			0.46
- Ostial	15.0	18.8	
- Proximal	28.3	31.9	
- Mid	22.5	26.1	
- Distal and Anastomotic	34.2	23.2	
Restenotic Lesions	6.7	34.8	<0.001
Total Occlusion	3.3	4.3	0.71
Calcium	5.0	8.7	0.36
Thrombus	21.7	13.0	0.18

Procedural Characteristics

	Bare-Metal Ste (n = 120)	nt DES (n = 69)	P-value
Stents / Lesion, n	1.08 ± 0.30	1.20 ± 0.61	0.050
Length of Stent / Lesion, mm	20.4 ± 8.8	29.4 ± 19.8	< 0.001
Max Balloon Diameter, mm	3.83 ± 0.58	3.35 ± 0.39	< 0.001
Max Balloon Inf Pressure, atm	15.1 ± 3.5	17.7 ± 3.9	< 0.001
No Reflow (%)	1.1	0	1.0
Distal Protection Devices (%)	22.5	31.1	0.26
GP IIb/IIIa Inhibitors (%)	21.3	14.8	0.40
Sirolimus-eluting stent (%)	-	n = 35	
Paclitaxel-eluting stent (%)	-	n = 26	

Outcomes

SES / vessel, n	1.2
Median Stent Length, mm	18 mm
- (range)	(8-46mm)
Median Stent Diameter, mm	
- Ostium	3.5 mm
- Body	3.5 mm
- Distal Anastomosis	2.5 mm
Distal Protection (%)	33
Balloon Post-Dilation (%)	43%
Max Balloon Post-Dilation (%)	
$- \ge 0.5$ mm larger than stent	8 lesions
$- \ge 1.0$ mm larger than stent	3 lesions
Col	at al Am Call Cardial 2005: 45:00

Angiographic Outcomes

Minimal Lumen Diameter, Acute Gain, and Late Loss Bare-Metal Stent DES



Angiographic Outcomes

Minimal Lumen Diameter, mm Bare-Metal Stent



Safety and Efficacy of CYPHER in Saphenous Vein Grafts Angiographic and Procedural Success



Angiographic Success Final Residual Stenosis < 30% with TIMI flow grade 3

Procedural Success

Angiographic success without in-hospital MACE

Safety and Efficacy of CYPHER in Saphenous Vein Grafts In-Hospital Outcomes



No reports of stent thrombosis

Safety and Efficacy of CYPHER in Saphenous Vein Grafts Outcomes Through 6 Months



No reports of stent thrombosis

Limitations

- Retrospective study
- 2 different types of drug-eluting stents used
- Incomplete angiographic follow-up
 - 69% BMS and 71% DES
- Clinical follow-up only through 7 months

Conclusions

- This report represents a large cohort of patients treated on SVG by DES implantation with complete clinical follow-up
- DES placement in SVG lesions appears feasible with a high procedural success rate
- Compared to the BMS historical group, the DES group was associated with a significant reduction in restenosis, TLR, and 6-Month MACE

Experience with CYPHER® in SVG

- Costa M., et al., Cath Lab Digest 2003; 11:20 23.
 - Case report
- Costa M., et al., Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2004;61: 368-75.
 Case report
- Price M., et al., Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2005;65:208-11.
 - 35 patients treated with CYPHER[®]
- Ge L., et al., J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:989-94.
 - 61 patients treated with DES
 - 35 patients treated with CYPHER[®]
 - 89 patients treated with BMS (historical control)
- Hoye A., et al., J Invas Cardiol 2004; 16:230-33.
 - 19 patients treated with CYPHER[®]

Methods

- RESEARCH (Rapamycin-Eluting Stent Evaluated at Rotterdam Cardiology Hospital) was a single-center registry in which CYPHER was the device of choice for all percutaneous coronary interventions, per hospital policy
- 19 patients with de novo lesions in a SVG with a RVD of < 3.0 mm were enrolled
- Primary Endpoint:
 - Death, MI, or Repeat TVR

Baseline Characteristics

	CYPHER	
	(n = 19)	
Age (y)	67	
Male (%)	84	
Current Smoker (%)	11	
Previous Smoker (%)	42	
Diabetes Mellitus (%)	11	
Hypertension (%)	53	
Hypercholesterolemia (%)	79	
Previous MI (%)	58	
Previous PCI (%)	42	
Presentation (%):		
- Stable Angina	68	
- ACS	32	
	Hove A., et al., J Invas Cardiol 2004; 16:230-33.	

Medications / Distal Protection Devices

- Clopidogrel: 300 mg loading dose followed by 75 mg/daily for 6 months
- Aspirin: indefinite
- GP IIb/IIIa Inhibitors (42%) and distal protection devices (32%) were at physician's discretion

In-Hospital Outcomes

- Major adverse cardiac events (MACE):
 - 11%, related to 2 patients with a peri-procedural AMI

– 1 Non-Q-wave MI and 1 Q-wave MI

 Distal protection device was not used in either case

Safety and Efficacy of CYPHER in Saphenous Vein Grafts Out-of-Hospital Outcomes at Follow-Up



Conclusions

- Utilizing SES for PCI of degenerate SVGs is associated with a low rate of TVR
- Increased utilization of distal protection devices might reduce the periprocedural AMI rate

Angioplasty 📕 Bare-Metal Stent 💦 DES



Safety and Efficacy of CYPHER in Saphenous Vein Grafts Myocardial Infarction



Summary

- Saphenous Vein Graft (SVG) stenting is associated with increased adverse event rates
- Compared to historical data with bare-metal stents, SVG stenting with CYPHER[®] appears relatively safe and feasible with lower rates of restenosis and TLR
 - Rates of myocardial infarction appear to be within the range seen with bare-metal stents